1	DANIEL M. PETROCELLI (S.B. #97	7802)	
2	dpetrocelli@omm.com MATTHEW T. KLINE (S.B. #211640)		
3	mkline@omm.com		
4	DAVID J. MARROSO (S.B. #211655 dmarroso@omm.com		
5	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP		
6	1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-6035		
	Telephone: (310) 553-6700 Facsimile: (310) 246-6779		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Colony Capita	l LLC	
8	and Colony Investors IV, L.P.	1.	
9	RONALD RICHMAN (pro hac vice pe Ronald.Richman@srz.com	ending)	
10	SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP 919 Third Avenue		
11	New York, NY 10022		
12	Telephone: (212) 756-2000 Facsimile: (212) 593-5955		
13	Attorneys for Defendant The National		
14	Retirement Fund (Additional Defense Counsel Listed on Signature Page)		
15		FS DISTRICT COURT	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	COLONY CAPITAL, LLC, a	Case No. 2:11-cv-07235 R (AGRx)	
18	Delaware limited liability company, and COLONY INVESTORS IV,	JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING	
19	L.P., a Delaware limited partnership,	SCHEDULING OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY	
20	Plaintiffs,	INJUNCTION PENDING	
21	V.	DECLARATORY RELIEF ACTION	
22	THE NATIONAL RETIREMENT	[PROPOSED] ORDER CONCURRENTLY FILED HEREWITH	
23	FUND, and DOES 1 through 20,		
24	inclusive,	Honorable Manuel L. Real	
25	Defendants.		
26		_	
27			
28			
۵۵			

JOINT STIPULATION

WHEREAS, on September 1, 2011, Plaintiffs Colony Capital, LLC and Colony Investors IV, L.P. ("the Colony Parties") filed a Complaint for Declaratory Relief against Defendant National Retirement Fund ("the Fund") in the above-captioned action;

WHEREAS, on September 1, 2011, the Colony Parties filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pending Declaratory Relief Action ("the Preliminary Injunction Motion");

WHEREAS, pursuant to rule, the Colony Parties noticed the Preliminary Injunction Motion for hearing on October 3, 2011, at 10:00 a.m.;

WHEREAS, the Parties have engaged in discussions concerning discovery, briefing, and scheduling matters, including regarding the Preliminary Injunction Motion, and have reached agreement on certain of these matters as set forth below:

NOW THEREFORE, the undersigned parties hereby STIPULATE that:

- 1. Immediately following the parties' execution of this stipulation, the Colony Parties will withdraw, without prejudice, the Preliminary Injunction Motion; the Colony Parties will re-file it to be heard on November 7, 2011;
- 2. The parties will confer during the week of September 12, 2011 to discuss (a) the scope and timing of the limited, expedited, bilateral discovery to be taken, and (b) a new briefing schedule, in accordance with the Court's rules, on the preliminary injunction motion that the Colony Parties will refile;
- 3. The Colony Parties shall have fifteen (15) days after (a) the Court rules on the Preliminary Injunction Motion that the Colony Parties intend to refile or (b) the refiled Preliminary Injunction Motion is otherwise disposed of, to initiate an arbitration pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1401, *et seq.* concerning the withdrawal liability determination made by the Fund under 29 U.S.C. §§ 1381-99 (and during this time period any requirement to file such an arbitration will be tolled);

1	4. Until (a) 15 days after the Cour	rt rules on the Colony Parties' refiled	
2	Preliminary Injunction Motion or (b) 15 days after the Colony Parties' refiled		
3	Preliminary Injunction Motion is otherwise disposed of, the Fund will not request		
4	any payments or seek to accelerate, declare a default, or file a collection or other		
5	action or arbitration against the Colony Parties with respect to any withdrawal		
6	liability assessment the Fund has made against the Colony Parties, e.g., 29 U.S.C.		
7	§§ 1399(c)(5); 1451(b), 1145, 1132(g)(2); 29 C.F.R. § 4219.31(b), 4219.31(c)(1);		
8	5. The Parties will confer during the week of September 12, 2011, to		
9	discuss other means and procedures to expedite an efficient resolution of their		
10	dispute.		
11	6. Nothing herein shall waive the Parties' respective claims, defenses,		
12	rights or other arguments, including, without limitation, the Fund's defenses and/or		
13	arguments with respect to venue. To be clear, the Colony Parties submit that this		
14	venue is the appropriate venue in which this case should proceed, and the Fund		
15	intends to challenge the venue.		
16			
17		Respectfully Submitted,	
18	E	By: /s/ Daniel M. Petrocelli DANIEL M. PETROCELLI	
19		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Attorneys for the Colony Parties	
20	Dated: September 12, 2011 R	Respectfully Submitted,	
21	•	By: /s/ Ronald Richman	
22		RONALD RICHMAN SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP	
23		Attorneys for The Fund	
24	Dated: September 12, 2011 R	Respectfully Submitted,	
25	E	By: /s/ Patricia Glaser PATRICIA GLASER	
26		JILL BASINGER GLASER, WEIL, FINK, JACOBS,	
27		HOWARD, AVCHEN & SHAPIRO, LLP Attorneys The Fund	
28		ruomeys mer und	